#### INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

#### MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

### Ajmer regional office

Mine file No : RAJ/AJM-315/Pb.Zn-1 Mine code: 34RAJ01001

Name of the Inspecting : DJ03(i) ) DILIP JAIN

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Senior Mining Geologist

(iii) Accompaning mine : Sh. Radha Raman, Mine Manger and Sh. Vijendra Kashyap

Official with

Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 10-JUN-21 Prev.inspection date : 11-DEC-20

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

Mine Name : KAYAR (a)

: IBM/421/2011 (b) Registration NO.

(C) Category : A Fully Mechanised

(d) Type of Working : Underground

(e) Postal address

> State : RAJASTHAN District : AJMER Village : KAYAR Taluka : AJMER Post office : KAYAR : 305023 Pin Code

FAX No. 0145-6626266

E-mail : Balwant.Rathore@vedanta.co

Phone : 0145-6626232

(f) Police Station : Gegal (q) First opening date : 12-JAN-98

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

Address for : M/S Hindustan Zinc Limited correspondance

Kayad Lead -Zinc Mine

Village: Kayad, Dist & Teshil : Ajmer

Lease Number : RAJ1765 (a)

(b) Lease area : 480.45

Period of lease (C) : 50

(d) Date of Expiry : 27-FEB-48

Mineral worked : LEAD & ZINC ORE Main 5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : HINDUSTAN ZINC LTD.

HINDUSTAN ZINC LTD. YASHAD BHAWAN YASHADGARH, UDAIPUR

UDAIPUR RAJASTHAN

Phone:01483-23331, 23332

FAX :01483-23073

Owner : Mr. Arun Mishra

M/s Hindustan Zinc Ltd. Yeshad Bhawan, yashadgarh, P.O.Udaipur, UDAIPUR

RAJASTHAN

Phone: 0294-2427734 FAX : 0294-2427734

Agent : Mr. Kastoor Meena

Kayad Lead -Zinc Mine M/S Hindustan Zinc Limited , Village: Kayad, Dist & Teshil : AJM AJMER RAJASTHAN

Phone: 0145-6626230, FAX : 0145-6626230,

Mining Engineer

Name : Mr. Anshul Khandelwal, Full Time

Qualification : BE Mining Appointment/ : 29-JUN-20

Termination date

Geologist

Name : Sri Vijendra Kashyap, Full Time

Qualification : M.Sc. Geology Appointment/ : 12-JUN-18

Termination date

Manager

Name : Mr. Anshul Khandelwal

Qualification : BE mining Appointment/ : 29-JUN-20

Termination date

6. Date of approval of Mining : Modif.of approved Mining Plan 05-DEC-06
Plan/Scheme of Mining Modif.of approved Mining Plan 07-MAY-13
MP modif under MCR 1960 20-MAY-16

MP modif under MCR 1960 20-MAY-16
MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 18-OCT-16
MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 03-NOV-17
MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 06-SEP-19
MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016 25-JAN-21

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

## Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item   | Proposals   | Actual work   | Remarks   |
|--------|--|---|---|---|
| 1a     | Backlog of previous year   | Total of 120<br>BHs with<br>42000 mts of<br>drilling.                                   | Total of 117 BHs with 35728 mts of drilling.  | Backlog of<br>drilling mts<br>incorporated in<br>RMP approved on<br>25/01/2021.                 |
| 1b     | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2                         | Total of 115<br>BHs with<br>42000 mts of<br>drilling.                                   | Total of 207 BHs with 44254.05 mts of drilling.   | NIL.  |
| 1c     | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year            | No proposal.  | The exploration was done by HZL through drilling agency SOILTECH (INDIA) PVT LTD, Plot no 119, subplot no 39, Ramtekdi industrial areaNear sangam press Ramtekdi, Hadapsar, Pune 411013 Expenditure in Rs. 2054.91 Lakh | The expenditure includes Underground, Surface drilling and Exploratory drive development in UG. |
| 1d     | Balance area to<br>be explored to<br>bring Geological<br>axis in 1 or 2        | 60 Hectare<br>area.   | 60 Hectare area.  | NIL.  |
| 1e     | Balance reserve<br>as on 01/04/20  | As on 01/04/2020 as per approved RMP dated 25/01/2021: Reserve-3.63Mt. Resources-1.9Mt. | As on 01.04.2021<br>Reserve-2.455Mt.<br>Resources-1.90Mt.   | Total depletion in FY (2020-21) is 1.175Mt.   |
| 1f     | General remarks<br>of inspecting<br>officers on<br>geology,<br>exploration etc | During the year a total of 115 BH with 42000 mts of drilling has been proposed.         | A total of 207 BH with 44254 mts of drilling has been carried out.  | Kayad Ore body is lensoidal in shape with pinching and swelling nature.                         |
| Deve   | elopment :   |   |   |   |
| Sl.No. | Item   | Propasals   | Actual work   | Remarks   |

| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area   | Block-3<br>Main Lens<br>Eastern Fringe<br>K1,Sland K18.                        | Block-3<br>Main Lens<br>Eastern Fringe<br>Kland K18   | The development in S1 lens could not be done due to COVID-19 situation.   |
|----|--|--|---|---|
| 2b | Separate benches<br>in topsoil,<br>overburden and<br>minerals (Rule<br>15)                             | Not applicable as mining operation has been proposed through UG Mining Method. | Not applicable.   | NIL.  |
| 2c | Stripping ratio<br>or ore to OB<br>ratio   | Not applicable as mining operation has been proposed through UG Mining Method. | Not applicable.   | NIL.  |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3   | top soil   | 242 Cubic meter of top soil removed during Civil work.  | Concurrently used for garden development.   |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3  | as mining  | Not applicable.   | NIL.  |
| 2f | General remarks<br>of inspecting<br>officers on<br>development of<br>pit w.r.t. type<br>of deposit etc | Development has been proposed through UG mining method.                        | The UG mechanised method has been adopted for carrying out mining operations in the lease area which is best suited method for the type of deposit. | The UG mechanised method has been adopted for carrying out mining operations in the lease area which is best suited method for the type of deposit. |

## Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item  | Propasals  | Actual work     | Remarks                                   |
|--------|---|--|-----------------|---|
| 3a     | Number of pit proposed for production                   | Not applicable as mining operation has been proposed through UG Mining Method. | Not applicable. | NIL.                                      |
| 3b     | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed             | 1200000 MT   | 1174824 MT      | Less production due to COVId-19 pandemic. |
| 3с     | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | 1200000 MT   | 1174824 MT      | Less production due to COVId-19 pandemic. |

| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation  | No proposals of mineral reject generation.                                     | Not applicable. | NIL. |
|----|--|--|-----------------|------|
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.  | No proposal.   | Not applicable. | NIL. |
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.  | No proposal.   | Not applicable. | NIL. |
| 3g | Grade of sub<br>grade mineral<br>generation  | No proposal.   | Not applicable. | NIL. |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM  | No proposal.   | Not applicable. | NIL. |
| 3i | Any analysis or<br>beneficiation<br>study proposed<br>and carried out<br>for sub grade<br>mineral and<br>rejects.      | No proposal.   | Not applicable. | NIL. |
| 3ј | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches  | Not applicable as mining operation has been proposed through UG Mining Method. | Not applicable. | NIL. |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches   | Not applicable as mining operation has been proposed through UG Mining Method. | Not applicable. | NIL. |
| 31 | Whether height<br>of benches in<br>overburden and<br>mineral suitable<br>for method of<br>mining proposed<br>in MP/SOM | as mining<br>operation has   | Not applicable. | NIL. |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits   | 0.73 Hectare.  | 0.73 Hectare.   | NIL. |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year.  | as mining  | Not applicable. | NIL. |

| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year                       |   | As per annual return for<br>the year 2020-21, area<br>put in use under<br>different heads at the<br>end of year was 48.50<br>ha. | NIL                             |
|----|--|---|--|---------------------------------|
| 3p | Production of<br>ROM mineral<br>during the last<br>five year period<br>as applicable | 2016-17:<br>1000000<br>2017-18:<br>1200000<br>2018-19:<br>1200000<br>2019-20:<br>1200000<br>2020-21:<br>1200000 | 2016-17: 1000000<br>2017-18: 1200000<br>2018-19: 1199592<br>2019-20: 1139071<br>2020-21: 1174824                                 | NIL.                            |
| 3q | General remarks<br>of inspecting<br>officers on<br>method of mining<br>etc.          | Underground method of mining has been proposed.   | The Method of mining is suitable to the type of deposit.   | No issue related mining method. |

## Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item   | Propasals                                   | Actual work                                     | Remarks                               |
|--------|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| 4a     | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33)     | Top soil - NIL<br>OB- NIL<br>Waste Dump-1   | OB- NIL   | Top soil generated Was utilized.      |
| 4b     | Location of<br>topsoil, OB and<br>mineral reject<br>dumps            |   | generation.                                     | Top soil generated has been utilized. |
| 4c     | Number of dumps<br>within lease<br>area and outside<br>of lease area | 01 and Outside<br>Lease                     | Inside lease: 01 and<br>Outside Lease area:NIL. | NIL.                                  |
| 4d     | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)                | Not applicable as it is a underground mine. | e Not applicable.                               | NIL.                                  |

| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps.  | One.                                     | One.  | NIL.   |
|----|--|--|---|--|
| 4f | Number of dead dumps.  | No proposal.                             | Not applicable.   | NIL.   |
| 4g | Number of dumps established.   | No proposal.                             | Not applicable.   | NIL.   |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there.           | No proposal.                             | Around 630 meter retaining wall constructed and maintained. | NIL.   |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps                    |  | Not applicable.   | Around 630 meter retaining wall has already been constructed and maintained around waste dump. |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds   | No proposal for the year 2020-21.        | Not applicable.   | NIL.   |
| 4k | Specific<br>comments of<br>inspecting<br>officer on waste<br>dump management | proposed to<br>backfill in<br>stoped out | Waste generated has been backfilled in stope out area.      | No issue related to waste dumping.   |

## Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item  | Propasals   | Actual work                                    | Remarks  |
|--------|---|---|--|--|
| 5a     | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. |   | Waste was dumped in the stope out area.        | NIL.   |
| 5b     | Area under<br>backfilling of<br>mined out area  | waste was   | 724100 MT of waste was backfilled.             | In house Geration-<br>290500MT<br>Purchase from<br>Outside: 433600MT |
| 5c     | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)     | No proposal.  | Not Applicable.                                | NIL.   |
| 5d     | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated  | No proposal of full reclamation and or rehabilitation . | Not applicable as it is underground (UG) Mine. | NIL.   |

5e of inspecting officers on

General remarks Backfilling or Backfilling of stopes in stope waste was carried out after dumping in UG full extraction of the backfilling and voids has been mineral. reclamation etc. proposed after

full

extraction of mineral.

UG stoped out area was utilized for waste dumping/backfillin

#### Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item   | Propasals   | Actual work   | Remarks   |
|--------|--|---|---|---|
| 6a     | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).                               | As per rule 26(2) of MCDR, 2017, PMCP report to be submitted for previous year by 1st July. | Report for the year 2020-21 submitted.                    | NIL.  |
| 6b     | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .   | An area of<br>3.50 Ha has<br>been proposed<br>for<br>plantation/reh<br>abilitation          | An area of 3.50 Ha has been planted.                      | NIL.  |
| 6c     | afforestation done (ha).   | 3.5 Hect.   | 3.5 Hect.   | NIL.  |
| 6d     | No. of saplings planted during the year  | 5000 no.  | Inside Lease: 4000 plants and Outside lease: 1000 plants. | Violation of MCDR issued vide letter dated 16/07/2021 for less tree plantation within lease area. |
| 6e     | Cumulative no .of plants   |   | 10500 during the period 2019-20 to 2020-21.               | NIL.  |
| 6f     | Any other method of rehabilitation   | No proposal.  | Not applicable.   | NIL.  |
| 6g     | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year  | No proposal.  | Not applicable.   | NIL.  |
| 6h     | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling ( Lx B x D | No Proposal.  | Rs. 1708800   | As reported during mine inspection.   |

| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings         | 750000 MT of waste was proposed to backfill.   | 724100 MT of waste was backfilled.  | In house Geration-<br>290500MT<br>Purchase from<br>Outside: 433600MT                              |
|----|---|--|---|---|
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii) Afforestati on on backfilled area       | No proposal.   | Not applicable.   | NIL.  |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | No proposal.   | Not applicable.   | NIL.  |
| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v) any other specific means.                 | No proposal.   | Not applicable.   | NIL.  |
| 6m | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation                                  | 5000 No.   | Inside Lease: 4000 and<br>Outside lease:1000                                  | Violation of MCDR issued vide letter dated 16/07/2021 for less tree plantation within lease area. |
| 6n | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii) Area rehabilitation (ha)                     | 3.5На  | 3.5На   | NIL.  |
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii) Method of rehabilitation                    | Plantation.  | Plantation.   | NIL.  |
| 6p | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone)  | Air, Noise, vibration and water quality testing to be done quarterly in proposed location. | Monitoring is being done as per proposal. The parameters found within limits. | NIL.  |

6q progressive closure operations etc.

to plant.

General remarks A total of A total of 5000 number of inspecting 5000 number of saplings has been officers on PMCP sapling has planted within and compliance and been proposed progressive.

PMCP compliance appears to be satisfactory.

#### Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item   | Propasals  | Actual work   | Remarks  |
|--------|--|--|---|--|
| 7a     | ROM Mineral<br>dispatch or<br>grade-wise<br>sorting within<br>lease area               | Proposed to dispatch entire ROM to RA mine for further processing. | Entire ROM dispatched for further processing to Rampura-Agucha Mine of the company. | NIL.   |
| 7b     | Method of grade-<br>wise mineral<br>sorting i.e.<br>manual or<br>mechanical.           | No proposal.   | Not applicable.   | NIL.   |
| 7c     | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines.  | No proposal.   | Not applicable.   | NIL.   |
| 7d     | Any beneficiation process at mines .   | No proposal.   | Not applicable.   | NIL.   |
| 7e     | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | beneficiation<br>and generation<br>of mineral                      | Entire ROM dispatched for further processing to Rampura-Agucha Mine of the company. | No issues related to mineral conservation and beneficiation. |

### Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item   | Propasals | Actual work  | Remarks                                   |
|--------|--|-----------|--|---|
| 8a     | Separate removal<br>and utilization<br>of topsoil (Rule<br>32) | top soil  |  | Concurrently used for garden development. |
| 8b     | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil                           |           | 242 Cubic meter of top soil removed during Civil work. | Concurrently used for garden development. |

Remarks

| 8c                     | Separate dumps<br>for overburden,<br>waste rock,<br>rejects and<br>fines (Rule 33)                          | OB-NIL<br>Waste Dump-1<br>Reject-NIL                                      | OB-NIL<br>Waste Dump-1<br>Reject-NIL                                   | NIL.  |  |  |
|------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| 8d                     | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use           | Waste produced was proposed to backfilled in underground stoped out area. | Waste generated was backfilled in stoped out area of Underground.      | NIL.  |  |  |
| 8e                     | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | No proposal.  | Not applicable.  | NIL.  |  |  |
| 8f                     | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)                    | A total of 5000 number sapling has been proposed to plant.                | Inside Lease: 4000 plants and Outside lease: 1000 plants.              | Violation of MCDR issued vide letter dated 16/07/2021 for less tree plantation within lease area. |  |  |
| 8g                     | Survival rate   | No proposal.  | 90%  | As per AR 2020-21.  |  |  |
| 8h                     | Water sprinkling<br>on roads to<br>control airborne<br>dust   | air born dust   | Water sprinkling on roads, Tarpaulin cover on mine truck was observed. | NIL.  |  |  |
| 8i                     | General remarks<br>of inspecting<br>officer on<br>aesthetic beauty<br>in and around<br>mines area           | a total of  | Inside Lease: 4000 plants and Outside lease: 1000 plants.              | The aesthetic beauty in and around the mine is satisfactory.                                      |  |  |
|                        |   |   |  |   |  |  |
| Compliance of Rule 45: |   |   |  |   |  |  |

Propasals Actual work

Sl.No. Item

| 9a | Status of<br>submission of<br>Monthly and<br>Annual returns  | As per rule 45 of MCDR, monthly and annual returns to be submitted.                         | Monthly and annual returns have been submitted for reporting year.  | NIL.  |
|----|--|---|---|---|
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager                          | Information on<br>Geologist,<br>Mining<br>Engineer and<br>Manager has<br>been<br>furnished. | Mine Manger, Mining engineer and Geologist are appointed.           | Scrutiny of AR 2020-21.   |
| 9c | Scrutiny of<br>Annual return on<br>land use pattern<br>for area under<br>pits, reclaimed<br>area, dumps etc. | has been furnished under waste disposal,  | The land use pattern furnished in the return appears to be correct. | NIL.  |
| 9d | Scrutiny of<br>Annual return on<br>afforestation   |   | Found in order.   | NIL.  |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity)                                  | _   | Mineral Reject has not been generated.                              | NIL.  |
| 9f | Scrutiny of<br>Annual return on<br>ROM stock and/or<br>graded ore  |   | The information found in order.                                     | NIL.  |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost                                  | Ex. Mine Price: Rs 1575.00 per tonne & Cost of Production: Rs. 1575.00 per tonne.           | The information furnished appears in order.                         | Violation of Rule<br>45 (7) of MCDR,<br>2017 issued vide<br>letter dated<br>16/07/2021 for<br>error in cost of<br>production. |
| 9h | Scrutiny of<br>Annual return on<br>fixed assets  |   | Appears in order.   | NIL.  |

9k

Scrutiny of Dumper, Rock The information
Annual return on Drill, Blast furnished on mining
mining Hole Drill, machineries appears to
machineries LPDT, LHD, Air be in order.

Compressor, Generator, Explosive ven, Ventilation fan etc.

NIL.

# Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out

| Violation           | n observed              | Show couse position |                         |
|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Rule NO.            | Issued on Compliance on | Rule NO.            | Issued on Compliance on |
| MCDR17 Rule 11(1)   | 16-JUL-21               |                     |                         |
| MCDR17 Rule 45(7)(a | ) 16-JUL-21             |                     |                         |

Date: (DILIP JAIN)

Indian Bureau of Mines